IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

CHARLESTON DIVISION

ALICE R. CASTO,

v.

Plaintiff,

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:15-cv-14729

CAROLYN W. COLVIN, Acting Commissioner of Social Security,

Defendant.

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

Before the Court is Plaintiff's Complaint seeking review of the decision of the Acting Commissioner of Social Security, Carolyn W. Colvin ("Commissioner"). (ECF No. 1.) On November 6, 2015, this action was referred to United States Magistrate Cheryl A. Eifert for submission of proposed findings and a recommendation ("PF&R"). Magistrate Judge Eifert filed her PF&R on November 3, 2016, recommending that this Court affirm the decision of the Commissioner and dismiss this matter from the Court's docket. (ECF No. 17.)

The Court is not required to review, under a de novo or any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the findings or recommendation to which no objections are addressed. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985). Failure to file timely objections constitutes a waiver of de novo review and the Plaintiff's right to appeal this Court's Order. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); *see also Snyder v. Ridenour*, 889 F.2d 1363, 1366 (4th Cir. 1989); *United States v. Schronce*, 727 F.2d 91, 94 (4th Cir. 1984). In addition, this Court need not conduct a de novo review when a party "makes general and conclusory objections that do not

direct the Court to a specific error in the magistrate's proposed findings and recommendations."

Orpiano v. Johnson, 687 F.2d 44, 47 (4th Cir. 1982).

Objections to the PF&R were due on November 21, 2016. To date, no objections have

been filed.

Accordingly, the Court **ADOPTS** the PF&R, (ECF No. 17), **DENIES** Plaintiff's motion

for judgment on the pleadings, (ECF No. 14), **GRANTS** the Commissioner's request for judgment

on the pleadings, (ECF No. 15), AFFIRMS the final decision of the Commissioner, DISMISSES

WITH PREJUDICE the Complaint, and DIRECTS the Clerk to remove this case from the

Court's docket.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

The Court **DIRECTS** the Clerk to send a copy of this Order to counsel of record and any

unrepresented party.

ENTER:

December 12, 2016

ΓΗΌΜΑS Ε. JOHNSTON

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2